

GLOBAL SYNCHRONIZATION IN A CHAIN OF PARAMETRICALLY COUPLED QUADRATIC MAPPINGS

A. Yu. Loskutov and S. D. Rybalko

A chain of parametrically linearly coupled quadratic mappings was analytically shown to have global synchronization, i. e., that the system tends to a trivial equilibrium state.

INTRODUCTION

The development of the qualitative theory of differential equations shows that the behavior of many physical, chemical, and some other *distributed* systems can effectively be simulated by a network (or lattice) of mappings, i. e., by a population of interacting subsystems. Such subsystems can be both deterministic, when the next state x_{n+1} is uniquely determined by a sequence of preceding states $x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \dots$, and stochastic, for which the probability of subsystem transition into a new state is specified. The dynamic systems most commonly chosen as lattice elements are the one-dimensional mappings $T_a: x \mapsto f(a, x)$, or, in terms of iterations,

$$x_{n+1} = f(x_n, a).$$

The coupling between the mappings can be of different types. Used most frequently is the diffusion coupling (see, e. g., [1-6]), in which each network element (i, j) interacts with its neighbors according to a diffusion law. In a two-dimensional case this coupling can be represented as

$$x_{n+1}^{i,j} = f(x_n^{i,j}, a) + d_1 \left[f(x_n^{i-1,j}, a) - 2f(x_n^{i,j}, a) + f(x_n^{i+1,j}, a) \right] + d_2 \left[f(x_n^{i,j-1}, a) - 2f(x_n^{i,j}, a) + f(x_n^{i,j+1}, a) \right],$$

where $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, d_1 and d_2 are the coefficients of diffusion along the horizontal and vertical directions in the lattice. In order not to introduce boundary conditions, the toroidal lattices are often considered, i. e., the superscripts i and j are defined modulo N . A typical feature of diffusion coupling is that the state of each element is as if "smoothed up" under the influence of the surrounding neighbors, and this smoothing up is the stronger, the greater the coefficients d_1 and d_2 .

Another method of introducing coupling is the parametric one (see, e. g., [7]). The dynamics of the (i, j) th lattice element can then be generally represented as

$$x_{n+1}^{i,j} = f(x_n^{i,j}, a_n^{i,j}),$$

where

$$a_n^{i,j} = \varphi(a, x_n^{i,j}, x_n^{i+1,j}, x_n^{i-1,j}, x_n^{i,j-1}, x_n^{i,j+1})$$

and φ is a certain function. Parametric coupling is notable for the fact that the value of the control parameter a depends on the states of the elements adjacent of the isolated one. The dynamics of such a

© 2000 by Allerton Press, Inc.

Authorization to photocopy items or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by Allerton Press, Inc. for libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$50.00 per copy is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.

where $a \in [0, 4]$. Suppose first that the state of chain (4) is synchronized, i. e., $x_1 = x_2$. It is easy to see that this equality defines an invariant manifold for mapping (4). Therefore, if $x_1 = x_2$, this mapping is one-dimensional

$$x \mapsto ax^2(1-x). \quad (5)$$

For $0 < a < 4$, this mapping has a single fixed point $x^{(1)} = 0$. And if $a = 4$, a second fixed point $x^{(2)} = 1/2$ appears as a result of tangent bifurcation. The point $x^{(1)}$ is stable in the entire range $[0, 4]$, while $x^{(2)}$ is semistable.

Let us now consider the general case of mapping (4). This mapping has no fixed points, except for those already investigated: $O_1 \equiv (x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}) = (0, 0)$ and $O_2 \equiv (x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}) = (1/2, 1/2)$. Let us find the range of parametric values in which these points are stable. Because $DF(O_1) = 0$, the stability of point O_1 cannot be determined by first-approximation analysis. Therefore we expand the functions f and g around this point

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f &= f_{x_1}(O_1)\Delta x_1 + f_{x_2}(O_1)\Delta x_2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(f_{x_1x_2}(O_1) + 2f_{x_1x_2}(O_1) + f_{x_2x_2}(O_1)\right), \\ \Delta g &= g_{x_1}(O_1)\Delta x_1 + g_{x_2}(O_1)\Delta x_2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(g_{x_1x_2}(O_1) + 2g_{x_1x_2}(O_1) + g_{x_2x_2}(O_1)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta x_1 = x_1 - 0$, $\Delta x_2 = x_2 - 0$. Thus,

$$\Delta f = a\Delta x_1\Delta x_2, \quad \Delta g = a\Delta x_1\Delta x_2.$$

In the vicinity of O_1 mapping (4) becomes

$$x_1 \mapsto ax_1x_2, \quad x_2 \mapsto ax_1x_2. \quad (7)$$

Thus, in order to analyze the stability of the point O_1 under mapping (4), it suffices to explore the effect of mapping (7) in a small vicinity of this point, i. e., to consider the quantity

$$R = \rho_1 - \rho_2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - (x_1'^2 + x_2'^2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2ax_1^2x_2^2,$$

where ρ_1 and ρ_2 are the distances from the point O_1 before and after one iteration, respectively. From the last relationship, we find that if $x_1^2 < 1/a^2$, $x_2^2 < 1/a^2$, then $R > 0$. This means that if these inequalities hold, then local compression takes place: the point O_1 is locally stable for all $0 < a \leq 4$.

Let us now explore the behavior of mapping (4) near the point $O_2 = (1/2, 1/2)$ for $a = 4$. Then (4) becomes

$$x_1 \mapsto 4x_2x_1(1-x_1), \quad x_2 \mapsto 4x_1x_2(1-x_2). \quad (4')$$

Expanding the right-hand side in the vicinity of O_2 up to quadratic terms, we obtain

$$\Delta x_1 \mapsto \Delta x_2 - 2\Delta x_1^2, \quad \Delta x_2 \mapsto \Delta x_1 - 2\Delta x_2^2, \quad (8)$$

where $\Delta x_1 = x_1 - 1/2$, $\Delta x_2 = x_2 - 1/2$. In order to analyze mapping (8) produced, let us pass to more convenient variables

$$y_1 = \Delta x_2 + \Delta x_1, \quad y_2 = \Delta x_2 - \Delta x_1.$$

One can easily see that y_1 is proportional to the deviation from the point O_2 along the diagonal $x_1 = x_2$ and y_2 is proportional to the deviation in the orthogonal direction, i. e., in the direction perpendicular to the diagonal. In the new variables the point $O_2 = (x_1^{(2)}, x_2^{(2)}) = (1/2, 1/2)$ will have the coordinates $O_2 = (y_1, y_2) = (0, 0)$, and mapping (8) will become

$$G: \begin{cases} y_1 \mapsto y_1 - (y_1^2 + y_2^2), \\ y_2 \mapsto -y_2(2y_1 + 1). \end{cases} \quad (8')$$

even when individual system elements have complex structures, their internal complexity is not manifested in their mutual interaction and, from the standpoint of the macrosystem, they function as simple enough objects with a small number of effective degrees of freedom. Therefore the description of coupled subsystems with the aid of networks is a fully justified approach. In the present study we have shown on a sufficiently rigorous level that a chain composed of parametrically interacting one-dimensional mappings capable of manifesting both regular and chaotic dynamics, is self-synchronizing. This means that it passes into a state in which all elements are functioning identically. Consequently, if an original medium can be approximated by such a one-dimensional network of coupled nonlinear subsystems, then such a medium will evolve toward the state of full synchronism.

REFERENCES

1. *Chaos*, vol. 2, no. 3, 1992: Coupled map lattices.
2. A.P. Muñuzuri, V. Perez-Muñuzuri, M. Gomez-Gesteira, et al., *Int. J. Bif. and Chaos*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 17, 1995.
3. V.S. Afraimovich, V.I. Nekorkin, G.V. Osipov, and V.D. Shalfeev, *Stability, Structures, and Chaos in Nonlinear Synchronization Networks* (in Russian), Gorky, 1989.
4. K. Kaneko, *Physica D*, vol. 34, no. 1-2, p. 1, 1989.
5. K. Kaneko, *Physica D*, vol. 41, no. 2, p. 137, 1990.
6. L.A. Bunimovich, *Physica D*, vol. 86, p. 248, 1995.
7. O.A. Druzhinin and A.S. Mikhailov, *Phys. Lett.*, vol. A148, no. 8-9, p. 429, 1990.
8. P. Manneville, N. Boccara, G.Y. Vichniac, and R. Bidaux, Eds., *Cellular Automata and Modeling of Complex Physical Systems, Proc. in Phys.*, vol. 46, Berlin: Springer, 1989.
9. O.A. Druzhinin and A.S. Mikhailov, *Preprint no. 1626*, Space Research Institute, Moscow, 1989.
10. A.Yu. Loskutov and G.E. Thomas, *Proc. SPIE*, vol. 2037, p. 238, 1993.
11. A.Yu. Loskutov, V.M. Tereshko, and K.A. Vasiliev, *Int. J. Neural Systems*, vol. 6, p. 175, 1995.
12. A.Yu. Loskutov, *Abstr. Intern. Conf. "Criteria of Self-Organization in Physical, Chemical, and Biological Systems"*, Moscow, 1995.
13. W. de Melo and S. van Strien, *One-Dimensional Dynamics*, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
14. P. Collet and J.-P. Eckmann, *Iterated Maps on the Interval as Dynamical Systems*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1980.

25 February 1998

Department of Quantum Theory and High-Energy Physics