# DIFFERENTIAL-GEOMETRIC CRITERION FOR KINEMATIC INTEGRABILITY OF EQUATIONS WITH OPERATORS FROM su(1,1) AND su(2)

A. Yu. Kolesnik

A necessary and sufficient condition for kinematic integrability of equations with operators belonging to the Lie algebras su(1,1) and su(2) is proved. The suggested criterion is related to differential-geometric consideration of the corresponding classes of kinematically integrable equations and is based on the G-representation of partial differential equations.

# THE NOTION OF G-CLASS

Let

$$ds^2 = g_{ij}dx^i dx^j, \quad (x^1 = x, x^2 = t)$$

be a metric on a smooth two-dimensional manifold. We assume that its coefficients can be represented in the form  $g_{ij} = g_{ij}(u, u_x, u_t, u_{xx}, \dots; x, t) \equiv g_{ij}[u]$ , where u(x, t) is an unknown function. If the Gaussian curvature K(x, t) is given, then the Gauss equation (see, e.g., [1]) is a generally nonlinear differential equation with respect to the function u(x, t),

$$f[u(x,t)] = 0. (1)$$

In this case, the corresponding metric tensor  $g_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ F & G \end{pmatrix}$  is called a G-representation of equation (1).

Equations admitting G-representations are said to belong to the G-class. The G-representations (G-class) corresponding to the Gaussian curvature  $K \equiv -1$  are referred to as the  $\Lambda^2$ -representations ( $\Lambda^2$ -class).

The notion of  $\Lambda^2$ -class, which has comparatively recently been introduced by Poznyak and Popov [2, 3], is a connecting link between nonlinear equations and the differential geometry of two-dimensional smooth manifolds.

In what follows, we denote the G-representations corresponding to constant nonzero Gaussian curvatures as  $G\{f[u]=0\}$ ,  $K \equiv \text{const} \neq 0$ , representations.

### REPRESENTATION OF ZERO CURVATURE

Consider the problem

$$\left\{ egin{aligned} \psi_x &= U\psi, \ \psi_t &= V\psi, \end{aligned} 
ight.$$

where U and V are the  $(2 \times 2)$ -matrix operators and  $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$  is the two-dimensional vector-function.

©2001 by Allerton Press, Inc.
Authorization to photocopy individual items for internal or personal use, or the internal or personal use of specific clients, is granted by Allerton Press, Inc., for libraries and other users registered with the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) Transactional Reporting Service, provided that the base fee of \$50.00 per copy is paid directly to CCC, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923.

The solvability condition for this system is obtained by cross differentiation and has the form

$$U_t - V_x + [U, V] = 0. (2)$$

Equation (2) is called a zero curvature representation equation. If the operators U and V analytically depend on a certain parameter  $\xi$ , then the equations representable in form (2) are called kinematically integrable equations [4].

# CONSTRUCTING THE ZERO CURVATURE REPRESENTATION OPERATORS GIVEN THE $G\{F[U]=0\}$ , $K\equiv {\rm const}\neq 0$ , REPRESENTATION

Given a  $G\{f[u]=0\}$ ,  $K \equiv \text{const} \neq 0$ , representation of a certain differential equation

$$f[u] = 0. (3)$$

In [5], the theorem stated below is proved. It relates the kinematic integrability [4] of equations to their membership in the G-class and allows us to construct the spectral-evolution operators U and V by the G-representation ( $K \equiv \text{const} \neq 0$ ) of equation (3) so that the zero curvature representation equation for U and V coincides with the corresponding Gauss equation.

Theorem 1. Given a G-representation of equation (3), i.e., the corresponding metric tensor  $g_{ij}[u]$ , and the Gaussian curvature  $K \equiv \text{const} \neq 0$ .

Then

1. The operators

$$U = egin{bmatrix} rac{i}{2}\widetilde{a} & rac{1}{2}\sqrt{-K}\sqrt{E}\exp(i heta^+) \ rac{1}{2}\sqrt{-K}\sqrt{E}\exp(i heta^+) & -rac{i}{2}\widetilde{a} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$V = egin{bmatrix} rac{i}{2}\widetilde{b} & rac{1}{2}\sqrt{-K}\sqrt{G}\exp(i heta^-) \ rac{1}{2}\sqrt{-K}\sqrt{G}\exp(i heta^-) \ -rac{i}{2}\widetilde{b} \ \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{split} \theta^{\pm} &= \pm \frac{1}{2} \arccos \left( \frac{F}{\sqrt{EG}} \right), \quad \widetilde{a} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} \Big[ \frac{FG_x}{2G} - \frac{FE_x}{2E} + F_x - E_t \Big], \\ \widetilde{b} &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{w}} \Big[ \frac{FG_t}{2G} - \frac{FE_t}{2E} - F_t + E_x \Big], \quad w = EG - F^2, \end{split}$$

satisfy the zero curvature representation equation

$$U_t - V_x + [U, V] = 0. (4)$$

- 2. Equation (4) coincides with the Gauss equation f[u] = 0 corresponding to the given  $G\{f[u] = 0\}$ ,  $K \equiv \text{const} \neq 0$ , representation.
  - 3. The operators U and V are unique to a gauge transformation.

Theorem 1 implies that the set of kinematically integrable equations includes the set of all equations that admit  $G\{f[u]=0\}$ ,  $K \equiv \text{const} \neq 0$ , representations.

## CONSTRUCTING G-REPRESENTATIONS GIVEN ZERO CURVATURE OPERATORS

Consider the problem inverse to that discussed above. Namely, let us construct the inverse representation for a metric tensor for the given zero curvature representation operators.

**Theorem 2.** 1. Given operators U = U[u(x,t)] and V = V[u(x,t)] of the form

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U^{11} & U^{12} \\ U^{21} & -U^{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} V^{11} & V^{21} \\ V^{21} & -V^{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying the zero curvature representation equation

$$U_t - V_x + [U, V] = 0 (5)$$

for a certain equation f[u(x,t)] = 0.

2. Let one of the following conditions be fulfilled:

- (a)  $U, V \in su(2)$ ,
- (b)  $U, V \in su(1, 1)$ .

Then

- 1°. There exists a G-representation of the equation f[u(x,t)] = 0 for a Gaussian curvature  $K \equiv \text{const } \neq 0$ , where K > 0 in case (a) and K < 0 in case (b).
  - 2°. The G-representation under consideration is expressed by the metric

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{4U^{12}U^{21}}{K}dx^{2} - 2\frac{2(U^{12}V^{21} + U^{21}V^{12})}{K}dx dt - \frac{4V^{12}V^{21}}{K}dt^{2}.$$
 (6)

#### A DIFFERENTIAL-GEOMETRIC CRITERION FOR KINEMATIC INTEGRABILITY

Let us denote the set of kinematically integrable equations with matrix operators  $U, V \in su(1,1)$   $(U, V \in su(2))$  by  $P_{su(1,1)}$   $(P_{su(2)})$ . The following inclusions are obvious corollaries to Theorem 2:

$$P_{su(1,1)} \subseteq G\{K \equiv \text{const} < 0\},$$

$$P_{su(2)} \subset G\{K \equiv \text{const} > 0\}.$$
(7)

Theorem 1 gives the inverse inclusions

$$P_{su(1,1)} \supseteq G\{K \equiv \text{const} < 0\},$$

$$P_{su(2)} \supseteq G\{K \equiv \text{const} > 0\}.$$
(8)

Assertions (7) and (8) imply the equivalence of  $P_{su(1,1)}$  to  $G\{K \equiv \text{const} < 0\}$  and of  $P_{su(2)}$  to  $G\{K \equiv \text{const} > 0\}$ .

These equivalences of the corresponding classes make it possible to state the following criterion for kinematic integrability of equations.

**Theorem 3.** An equation f[u(x,t)] = 0 belongs to the class of kinematically integrable equations with matrix operators  $U, V \in su(1,1)$   $(U, V \in su(2))$  if and only if the equation f[u(x,t)] = 0 belongs to the  $G\{K \equiv \text{const} < 0\}$ -class  $(G\{K \equiv \text{const} > 0\}$ -class).

Theorem 3 is a differential-geometric criterion, because it gives necessary and sufficient conditions for kinematic integrability of equations.

The author is grateful to S. A. Zadadaev for useful discussions.

### REFERENCES

- 1. B.A. Dubrovin, S.P. Novikov, and A.T. Fomenko, *Modern Geometry: Methods and Applications* (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1986.
- 2. E.G. Poznyak and A.G. Popov, Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk, vol. 332, no. 4, p. 418, 1993.
- 3. E.G. Poznyak and A.G. Popov, in: Advances in Science and Technology, Ser. Geometry-2 (in Russian), p. 5, Moscow, 1994.
- 4. L.A. Takhtadzhyan and L.D. Fadeev, Hamiltonian Approach to the Theory of Solitons (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1986.
- 5. S.A. Zadadaev, Candidate's of Science (Phys.-Math.) Thesis, Moscow, 1999.

7 May 1999

Department of Mathematics